

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/678,834  
Response to Restriction Requirement

Docket No. 7463-30

### **REMARKS**

In a prior Office Action dated July 27, 2004, the Examiner had previously issued an election of the invention to be examined and the Applicant had duly made an election with traverse of "Invention I" encompassing claims 1-16. Subsequently, the Examiner made another Election of Species Requirement within the already elected claims stating that the Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

In this regard, the Applicant on December 17, 2004 amended the claims to add generic claims 21 through 24. As a result of the December 17<sup>th</sup> 2004 Amendment, original claims 1-16 and newly added claims 21-24 were thought to remain. Claims 17-20 were unelected and were reflected as cancelled.

Claims 1-16 were believed to be readable within New generic claim 21, and the previous amendment was that to be submitted as a full and complete Response to the Election mailed November 17, 2004.

Subsequently, another Office Action mailed March 21 was sent to the Applicant stating that Applicant must clearly elect one of two species, namely a first species directed to claims 1-11 OR a second species directed to claims 12-16.

In this regard, **APPLICANT HEREBY ELECTS the SPECIES DIRECTED TO CLAIMS 12-16 with traverse.** Please note that the Applicant further requests that the Preliminary Amendment previously submitted on December 17, 2004 as further modified herein also be entered. Note, added claim 21 was slightly modified to change "printed circuit board" to "substrate". As stated above, the Applicant believes the added claim 21 is generic to not only claims 12-16, but also to claims 1-11 (or both species). Thus, it is believed claims 1-16 and 21-24 should be examined after entering the amendment.


Should the Examiner believe that anything further is necessary in order to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below.

No additional fees are believed due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-0951.

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/678,834  
Response to Restriction Requirement

Docket No. 7463-30

Respectfully submitted,



Date: April 11, 2004

Pablo Meles, Reg. No. 33,739  
AKERMANN SENTERFITT  
P. O. Box 3188  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188  
Telephone: (561) 653-5000

Docket No. 7463-30